AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				ONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 2003 AUG 04	-		RCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
Richmond, VA 23297- Initiator: TERRI GRUBER PZGDDA1 (804) 279-3288 / FAX: (804)279 E-mail: Terri.Gruber@dla.mil		7. ADMINISTERED	BY <i>(I</i> ,	f other than Item 6) CC	DDE
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., str	eet, city, county, State and Zi	IP Čode)	X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOME SP0410-03-R 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 2003 APR 10A. MODIFICATION OF (-0717) 10
	FACILITY CODE			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 1	3)
11. THIS ITEM X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in	ONLY APPLIES TO		F SOI	LICITATIONS	
(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (A) B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MO appropriation data, etc.). SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO: (A)	PLIES ONLY TO MOI THE CONTRACT/ORE Specify authority) THE CHANGE DDIFIED TO REFLECT THE AI WANT TO THE AUTHORITY (DIFICATIONS OF C DER NO. AS DESCE ES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 DMINISTRATIVE CHANGE DF FAR 43.103(b).	CONT RIBEI ARE M	RACTS/ORDERS, D IN ITEM 14. MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORI	
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED IN D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)	NTO PURSUANT TO AUTHOR	JTY OF:			
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign this documen	nt and return		copies to the issuing office	
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION Previous Opening/Closing Date: Extended to: 2003 AUG 11 1. THE FEDERAL SUPPLY CLASSES (FSCs) HAVE 5 NSNs: FROM 4010-01-044-3171 TO 1640-01-044-3171 FROM 4010-01-058-3471 TO 1640-01-058-3471 FROM 4010-01-058-4264 TO 1640-01-058-4264 CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE(S) Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the d 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	BEEN CHANGED FOR T	OA or 10A, as heretofore of	change		full force and effect.
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES	S OF A	MERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signe	iture of	Contracting Officer)	

NSN 7540-01-152-9070 PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

PerFORM (DLA)

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

CONTINUE A TRANSCRIPTOR	Reference Number of Document Being Continued:	PAGE OF PAGES		
CONTINUATION SHEET	SP0410-03-R-0717-0003	2	3	
			<u> </u>	
OM 4010-01-059-0412 TO 1640-01-09				
OM 4010-01-255-5082 TO 1640-01-25	55-5082			

CONTINUATION SHEET

Reference Number of Document Being Continued:

SP0410-03-R-0717-0003

PAGE 3

PAGES

3

THE FOLLOWING CLAUSES HAVE BEEN MODIFIED

M10G

52.215-9G06

EVALUATION AND AWARD DSCR (FEB 2000)

- (a) AWARD. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and, if necessary, conduct discussions with all responsible offerors within the competitive range. The award will be made to the offeror whose proposal conforms to the terms and conditions of the solicitation and represents the best value to the Government. Therefore, award may be made to other than the lowest priced or the highest technically rated offer.
- (b) RELATIVE IMPORTANCE AND TRADE-OFFS. The Government will base the determination of best value on a comparative assessment of the offerors' prices, past performance, and the other evaluation factors identified elsewhere in this solicitation. The determination of best value also considers the relative importance of the evaluation factors. All evaluation factors, when combined, are:
 - [] significantly more important than cost or price. As other evaluation factors become more equal, the evaluated cost or price becomes more important.
 - [X] approximately equal to cost or price; or
 - [] significantly less important than cost or price. As the evaluated cost/price becomes more equal, relative importance of all other evaluation factors becomes more significant.

The final award decision may involve a trade-off among cost or price and the non-price factors. Factors that may be considered in the trade-off process include, but are not limited to:

Item criticality and weapons system application Delivery schedule and current inventory status Historical delivery or quality problems Concerns over limited supply sources and industrial base Benefits from obtaining new sources

- (c) COST OR PRICE. The Government will evaluate the offered cost or price for cost realism, as defined in FAR 15.401, and reasonableness. The Government will add any other cost or price evaluation factors identified elsewhere in this solicitation (e.g. Buy American Act or FOB Origin transportation costs) to arrive at the offeror's evaluated cost or price. The evaluated cost or price will be used in conjunction with the other non-price factors to determine the best value to the Government.
- (d) PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FACTORS. The Government will use the past performance evaluation factors marked below in addition to cost or price and other evaluation factors specified in the solicitation. Unless indicated otherwise, past performance is significantly more important than other non-price factors. Within the past performance subfactors, ABVS scores will be weighed most heavily because of their relevance to DSCR awards. Quality history and delivery schedule compliance will be weighed more heavily than the remaining past performance subfactors. All other non-price evaluation factors specified in this solicitation weigh equally, unless otherwise indicated.
 - [X] ABVS Score (52.215-9G05)
 - [] Quality History
 - [] Delivery Schedule Compliance
 - [] Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) (52.215-9005)
 - [] Mentoring Business Agreements (MBA) (52.219-9003)
 - [] Socioeconomic Support (52.215-9003)
 - [] Other (specify):
- (e) PAST PERFORMANCE. Past performance includes, but is not limited to, the offeror's record of conforming

to contract requirements and standards of good workmanship; adherence to contract schedules, including the administrative aspects of performance; the offeror's reputation for reasonable and cooperative behavior and commitment to customer satisfaction; and generally, the offeror's business-like concern for the customer's interest.

- (i) Offerors may submit with their offer information on past and current Federal (non-DSCR), State and local government and private sector contracts performed by the offeror at the proposed performance location within the last three years that are similar in nature to this acquisition. Offerors electing to submit this data must furnish at least the following information: name and address of the contracting entity; the contract number; award and completion dates; the dollar value; the contract type; the items or services provided; two references, with title and phone number; and any problems encountered and the corrective action taken by the offeror.
- (ii) By submitting past performance information, the offeror agrees to permit the Government's representatives to contact the listed references and inquire of the offeror's performance. If more than three contracts are identified, the Government reserves the right to randomly select and limit its review to three contracts. In addition to the information provided, the Government may consider information obtained from other sources when evaluating the offeror's past performance. Offerors will be given the opportunity to discuss negative past performance information obtained from references if the offeror has not had a previous opportunity to comment on that information.
- (iii) In addition to the information above, DSCR will use the Automated Best Value System (ABVS) to evaluate quality and past performance on DLA awards (see 52.215-9G05).
- (iv) Offerors with no past performance history (whether internal or external to the Federal government) will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably.